## Regulatory environment for platforms, online intermediaries, data and cloud computing and the collaborative economy

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## **Objectives and General Information**

The views expressed in this public consultation document may not be interpreted as stating an official position of the European Commission. All definitions provided in this document are strictly for the purposes of this public consultation and are without prejudice to differing definitions the Commission may use under current or future EU law, including any revision of the definitions by the Commission concerning the same subject matters.

You are invited to read the privacy statement attached to this consultation for information on how your personal data and contribution will be dealt with.

This public consultation will close on 30 December 2015 (12 weeks from the day when all language versions have been made available).

The Commission invites all interested parties to express their views on the questions targeting relations between platform providers and holders of rights in digital content (Question starting with "[A1]"), taking account of the Commission Communication "Towards a modern, more European copyright framework" of 9 December 2015. Technical features of the questionnaire have been adapted accordingly.

## Please complete this section of the public consultation before moving to other sections.

- Respondents living with disabilities can request the questionnaire in .docx format and send their replies in email to the following address: CNECT-PLATFORMS-CONSULTATION@ec.europa.eu.
- If you are an association representing several other organisations and intend to gather the views of your members by circulating the questionnaire to them, please send us a request in email and we will send you the questionnaire in .docx format. However, we ask you to introduce the aggregated answers into EU Survey. In such cases we will not consider answers submitted in other channels than EU Survey.
- If you want to submit position papers or other information in addition to the information you share with the Commission in EU Survey, please send them to CNECT-PLATFORMS-CONSULTATION@ec.europa.eu and make reference to the "Case Id" displayed after you have concluded the online questionnaire. This helps the Commission to properly identify your contribution.
- Given the volume of this consultation, you may wish to download a PDF version before responding to the survey online. The PDF version includes all possible questions. When you fill the survey in online, you will not see all of the questions; only those applicable to your chosen respondent category and to other choices made when you answer previous questions.
- \* Please indicate your role for the purpose of this consultation
  - An individual citizen
  - An association or trade organization representing consumers
  - An association or trade organization representing businesses
  - An association or trade organization representing civil society
  - An online platform
  - A business, including suppliers using an online platform to provide services
  - A public authority
  - A research institution or Think tank
  - Other

### \* Please indicate your country of residence

Belgium

### \* Please provide your contact information (name, address and e-mail address)

```
European Gaming and Betting Association (EGBA), Rue Gray 50 1040 Brussels, egba@egba.eu
```

Is your organisation registered in the Transparency Register of the European Commission and the European Parliament?

Note: If you are not answering this questionnaire as an individual, please register in the Transparency Register. If your organisation/institution responds without being registered, the Commission will consider its input as that of an individual and will publish it as such.

- Yes
- No
- Non-applicable

### \* Please indicate your organisation's registration number in the Transparency Register

29508582413-52

## If you are an economic operator, please enter the NACE code, which best describes the economic activity you conduct. You can find here the NACE classification.

#### Text of 3 to 5 characters will be accepted

The Statistical classification of economic activities in the European Community, abbreviated as NACE, is the classification of economic activities in the European Union (EU).

92.00

### \* I object the publication of my personal data

- Yes
- No

## **Online platforms**

### SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC ROLE OF ONLINE PLATFORMS

# Do you agree with the definition of "**Online platform**" as provided below?

"Online platform" refers to an undertaking operating in two (or multi)-sided markets, which uses the Internet to enable interactions between two or more distinct but interdependent groups of users so as to generate value for at least one of the groups. Certain platforms also qualify as Intermediary service providers.

Typical examples include general internet search engines (e.g. Google, Bing), specialised search tools (e.g. Google Shopping, Kelkoo, Twenga, Google Local, TripAdvisor, Yelp,), location-based business directories or some maps (e.g. Google or Bing Maps), news aggregators (e.g. Google News), online market places (e.g. Amazon, eBay, Allegro, Booking.com), audio-visual and music platforms (e.g. Deezer, Spotify, Netflix, Canal play, Apple TV), video sharing platforms (e.g. YouTube, Dailymotion), payment systems (e.g. PayPal, Apple Pay), social networks (e.g. Facebook, Linkedin, Twitter, Tuenti), app stores (e.g. Apple App Store, Google Play) or collaborative economy platforms (e.g. AirBnB, Uber, Taskrabbit, Bla-bla car). Internet access providers fall outside the scope of this definition.



¥

\* Please explain how you would change the definition

1000 character(s) maximum

The definition of online platforms is very wide and seems to be mostly descriptive / a snapshot of current developments in the digital economy. There is an indicative list of undertakings that supposedly fall within that definition, whilst Internet access providers are explicitly excluded from the definition. The criteria for the categorisation of these undertakings are not given, and neither is the purpose of the definition. It is consequently not clear whether it serves legal or technical objectives. The (legal) implications of the definition are also unclear, which risks legal uncertainty for undertakings directly or indirectly affected by it.

The absence of a well-defined set of objectives renders providing alternative definitions impossible

What do you consider to be the key advantages of using online platforms?

#### Online platforms...

- Make information more accessible
- make communication and interaction easier
- increase choice of products and services
- create more transparent prices and the possibility to compare offers
- wincrease trust between peers by providing trust mechanisms (i.e. ratings, reviews, etc.)
- Iower prices for products and services
- Iower the cost of reaching customers for suppliers
- help with matching supply and demand
- create new markets or business opportunities
- help in complying with obligations in cross-border sales
- Image with the second secon
- others:

#### Please specify:

100 character(s) maximum

Platforms increase transparency in the market and are beneficial to consumers.

# Have you encountered, or are you aware of problems faced by **consumers** or **suppliers** when dealing with online platforms?

"Consumer" is any natural person using an online platform for purposes outside the person's trade, business, craft or profession.

"Supplier" is any trader or non-professional individual that uses online platforms to provide services to third parties both under their own brand (name) and under the platform's brand.

Yes

No



Please list the problems you encountered, or you are aware of, in the order of importance and provide additional explanation where possible.

#### 3000 character(s) maximum

EGBA members have encountered issues related to freedom of expression in the past. In the field of online gambling the freedom to advertise and the liberties on editorial content, which both form part of freedom of expression, have been infringed when dealing with online platforms.

In the area of advertising for gaming and betting services the margin of appreciation for the national authorities to impose restrictions depends on the objectives pursued by national legislation, which usually are consumer protection and the protection of public order. It is important to note that in a number of cases the ECtHR has confirmed that, in addition to editorial content, so-called "commercial speech" is also protected by article 10 ECHR.

In several Member States national authorities have requested a social media platform to shut down online gambling operators' webpages. We consider that this not only infringes EU law, but also curbs the freedom of expression and the right for a fair trial as laid down in the EU Charter of Human Rights since there is no mechanism of appeal. The act of shutting down webpages without a valid reason and without legal remedies amounts to censorship especially when the entire website of an operator is shut down and not, for example, less restrictive means are used such as blocking of individual messages that could be of concern to a national regulator.

Thus, EGBA would like to see the requirement for a court order to be necessary before taking down content as well as a mechanism of legal remedies in particular when certain services and/or content are legitimately offered / published from another Member State or in another Member State. This will be elaborated on in Section II of this consultation (Section 2 "Tackling illegal content..."). How could these problems be best addressed?

- market dynamics
- regulatory measures
- self-regulatory measures
- a combination of the above

### TRANSPARENCY OF ONLINE PLATFORMS

Do you think that online platforms should ensure, as regards their own activities and those of the **traders** that use them, more transparency in relation to:

a) information required by consumer law (e.g. the contact details of the supplier, the main characteristics of products, the total price including delivery charges, and consumers' rights, such as the right of withdrawal)?

"Trader" is any natural or legal person using an online platform for business or professional purposes. Traders are in particular subject to EU consumer law in their relations with consumers.

- No
- I don't know

b) information in response to a search query by the user, in particular if the displayed results are sponsored or not?

- Yes
- 🔘 No
- I don't know

c) information on who the actual supplier is, offering products or services on the platform

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

d) information to discourage misleading marketing by professional suppliers (traders), including fake reviews?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

## e) is there any additional information that, in your opinion, online platforms should be obliged to display?

500 character(s) maximum

It is the internet itself that significantly increases the transparency and the power of the consumer in the market place. As a result, online business is under constant commercial pressure to create positive consumer experiences and avoid negative perception, thus strengthening the position of the consumer.

Answering questions a) to e) shows that sufficient provisions are in place to address the issues. More regulation will rather cause over-regulation and duplication.

Have you experienced that information displayed by the platform (e.g. advertising) has been adapted to the interest or recognisable characteristics of the user?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Do you find the information provided by online platforms on their terms of use sufficient and easy-to-understand?

- O Yes
- No

## ★ What type of additional information and in what format would you find useful? Please briefly explain your response and share any best practice you are aware of.

1500 character(s) maximum

Online gambling is included in a number of horizontal EU directives and regulations, including for the protection of consumers. The sector is inter alia covered by the Unfair Commercial Practices Directive, the Directive on Unfair Contract Terms and the Alternative and Online Dispute Resolution Directive. However, online gambling has been excluded from the Consumer Rights Directive, resulting in the recent EP study on the Cost of non-Europe to conclude that 'excluding gambling contracts from the Consumer Right Directive can expose consumers to situations where some contracts are unregulated.'

The study also concluded that: "A single market for gambling and online gaming is not currently established in the EU, leading to significant gaps in consumer protection when gambling transaction occurs cross border. ...Protection for problem gamblers and vulnerable consumers is also fragmented and less effective as a result." (p 8) and that: "There is a need for common standards to address the rights and obligations of both the service provider and the consumer in order to ensure a high level of protection for citizens and consumers, particularly minors and other vulnerable persons, and the prevention of misleading and excessive advertisements. "(p 43)

EGBA would rather urge the Commission to come forward with legislative proposals to address existing gaps in the (digital) single market, including for online gambling

Do you find reputation systems (e.g. ratings, reviews, certifications, trustmarks) and other trust mechanisms operated by online platforms are generally reliable?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

What are the main benefits and drawbacks of reputation systems and other trust mechanisms operated by online platforms? Please describe their main benefits and drawbacks.

1500 character(s) maximum

### USE OF INFORMATION BY ONLINE PLATFORMS

In your view, do online platforms provide sufficient and accessible information with regard to:

a) the personal and non-personal data they collect?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

b) what use is made of the personal and non-personal data collected, including trading of the data to other platforms and actors in the Internet economy?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

c) adapting prices, for instance dynamic pricing and conditions in function of data gathered on the buyer (both consumer and trader)?

- Yes
- 🔘 No
- I don't know

Please share your general comments or ideas regarding the use of information by online platforms

3000 character(s) maximum

# RELATIONS BETWEEN PLATFORMS AND SUPPLIERS/TRADERS/APPLICATION DEVELOPERS OR HOLDERS OF RIGHTS IN DIGITAL CONTENT

Please provide the list of online platforms with which you are in regular business relations and indicate to what extent your business depends on them (on a scale of 0 to 3). Please describe the position of your business or the business you represent and provide recent examples from your business experience.

	Name of online platform	Dependency (0:not	Examples	
		dependent, 1:	from your	
		dependent, 2:	business	
		highly dependent)	experience	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				

# How often do you experience the following business practices in your business relations with platforms?

### The online platform ...

\* A parity clause is a provision in the terms of use of an online platform or in an individual contract between the online platform and a supplier under which the price, availability and other conditions of a product or service offered by the supplier on the online platform have to maintain parity with the best offer of the supplier on other sales channels.

	Never	Sometimes	Often	Always
requests me to use exclusively its services	0	0	0	0
applies "parity clauses" *	0	0	0	0
applies non-transparent fees	0	0	0	0
applies fees without corresponding counter-performance	0	0	0	0
applies terms and conditions, which I find unbalanced and do not have the possibility to negotiate	0	0	0	O
unilaterally modifies the contractual terms without giving you proper notification or allowing you to terminate the contract	O	0	0	O
limits access to data or provides it in a non-usable format	0	0	0	0
puts significant constraints to presenting your offer	0	0	0	0
presents suppliers/services in a biased way	0	0	0	0
refuses access to its services unless specific restrictions are accepted	0	0	۲	0
promotes its own services to the disadvantage of services provided by suppliers	O	0	O	٢

# If you do experience them, what is their impact on your business activity (on a scale from 0 to 3).

## Impact on my business: The online platform ...

\* A parity clause is a provision in the terms of use of an online platform or in an individual contract between the online platform and a supplier under which the price, availability and other conditions of a product or service offered by the supplier on the online platform have to maintain parity with the best offer of the supplier on other sales channels.

	0 – no impact	1 – minor impact	2 – considerable impact	3 – heavy impact
requests me to use exclusively its services	$\odot$	0	۲	0
applies "parity clauses" *	0	0	0	0
applies non-transparent fees	0	0	0	0
applies fees without corresponding counter-performance	0	0	0	۲
applies terms and conditions, which I find unbalanced and do not have the possibility to negotiate	0	0	0	0
unilaterally modifies the contractual terms without giving you proper notification or allowing you to terminate the contract	0	۲	0	0
limits access to data or provides it in a non-usable format	0	0	0	۲
puts significant constraints to presenting your offer	0	0		۲
presents suppliers/services in a biased way	0	0	0	0
refuses access to its services unless specific restrictions are accepted	0		0	0
promotes its own services to the disadvantage of services provided by suppliers	O	0	۲	©

If you are aware of other contractual clauses or experience other potentially problematic practices, please mention them here

1000 character(s) maximum

[A1] Are you a holder of rights in digital content protected by copyright, which is used on an online platform?

- Yes
- No

Is there a room for improvement in the relation between platforms and suppliers using the services of platforms?

- No, the present situation is satisfactory.
- Yes, through market dynamics.
- Yes, through self-regulatory measures (codes of conducts / promotion of best practices).
- Yes, through regulatory measures.
- Yes, through the combination of the above.

Are you aware of any dispute resolution mechanisms operated by online platforms, or independent third parties on the business-to-business level mediating between platforms and their suppliers?

Yes

No

# CONSTRAINTS ON THE ABILITY OF CONSUMERS AND TRADERS TO MOVE FROM ONE PLATFORM TO ANOTHER

Do you see a need to strengthen the technical capacity of online platforms and address possible other constraints on switching freely and easily from one platform to another and move user data (e.g. emails, messages, search and order history, or customer reviews)?

- Yes
- No

Should there be a mandatory requirement allowing non-personal data to be easily extracted and moved between comparable online services?

- Yes
- No

Please share your general comments or ideas regarding the ability of consumers and traders to move from one platform to another

3000 character(s) maximum

ACCESS TO DATA

As a trader or a consumer using the services of online platforms did you experience any of the following problems related to the access of data?

a) unexpectedly changing conditions of accessing the services of the platforms

- Yes
- No

b) unexpectedly changing conditions of accessing the Application Programming Interface of the platform

- Yes
- No

c) unexpectedly changing conditions of accessing the data you shared with or stored on the platform

- Yes
- 🔘 No

d) discriminatory treatment in accessing data on the platform

- Yes
- No

Would a rating scheme, issued by an independent agency on certain aspects of the platforms' activities, improve the situation?

- Yes
- No

Please share your general comments or ideas regarding access to data on online platforms 3000 character(s) maximum

Tackling illegal content online and the liability of online intermediaries

### Please indicate your role in the context of this set of questions

Terms used for the purposes of this consultation:

#### "Illegal content"

Corresponds to the term "illegal activity or information" used in Article 14 of the E-commerce Directive. The directive does not further specify this term. It may be understood in a wide sense so as to include any infringement of applicable EU or national laws and regulations. This could for instance include defamation, terrorism related content, IPR infringements, child abuse content, consumer rights infringements, or incitement to hatred or violence on the basis of race, origin, religion, gender, sexual orientation, malware, illegal online gambling, selling illegal medicines, selling unsafe products.

#### "Hosting"

According to Article 14 of the E-commerce Directive, hosting is the "storage of (content) that has been provided by the user of an online service". It may for instance be storage of websites on servers. It may also include the services offered by online market places, referencing services and social networks.

#### "Notice"

Any communication to a hosting service provider that gives the latter knowledge of a particular item of illegal content that it transmits or stores and therefore creates an obligation for it to act expeditiously by removing the illegal content or disabling/blocking access to it.. Such an obligation only arises if the notice provides the internet hosting service provider with actual awareness or knowledge of illegal content.

#### "Notice provider"

Anyone (a natural or legal person) that informs a hosting service provider about illegal content on the internet. It may for instance be an individual citizen, a hotline or a holder of intellectual property rights. In certain cases it may also include public authorities.

#### "Provider of content"

In the context of a hosting service the content is initially provided by the user of that service. A provider of content is for instance someone who posts a comment on a social network site or uploads a video on a video sharing site.

#### individual user

- content provider
- notice provider
- intermediary
- none of the above

#### \* Please explain

EGBA is the association of EU-established, licensed and regulated providers of online gambling services. All EGBA members hold multiple licenses in several Member States which allows them to offer their services in the

EU. The EGBA members are content providers.

EGBA considers that notice and action procedures in the field of online gambling fail to respect EU law either because there is no clear legal basis to block 'illegal gambling websites', or because Member States are subject to EU infringement cases and/or complaints or because Member States continue to fail to meet their obligations under Directive (EU) 2015/1535 to notify legislation affecting the gambling sector.

There is a large degree of uncertainty as to what can be understood as illegal content as regards online gambling. A Member State may consider that gambling content is illegal under its national law and initiate a notice for its removal. However, it is important to note that the relevant national law may be compliant with EU law, thus rendering its enforcement illegal.

This view is confirmed by the definition of illegal gambling in the Report of the Spanish EU Presidency (2010): illegal gambling may be defined as "gambling in which operators do not comply with the national law of the country where services are offered, provided those national laws are in compliance with EU treaty principles". The CJEU has confirmed this view and has established the consistency criterion as integral part of the jurisprudence, according to which a national legislative framework is considered to be in compliance with EU law provided it is applied in a non-discriminatory manner and in a manner which was "consistent and systematic" as spelled out, for example, in Gambelli and others.[1]

[1] CJEU 6 November 2003, C-243/01, Gambelli, ECR 2003, I-13031.

Have you encountered situations suggesting that the liability regime introduced in Section IV of the E-commerce Directive (art. 12-15) has proven not fit for purpose or has negatively affected market level playing field?

Yes

No

#### \* Please describe the situation.

3000 character(s) maximum

The removing of websites, apps or company social media profiles risks to harm fundamental rights and freedoms such as the freedom of speech as laid down in the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights. Its implementation, therefore, requires a careful balance of the rights of content providers versus the public objectives of a particular Member State. However, this balancing exercise is not contained in Article 14 of the E-Commerce Directive, and, in consequence, the article has been used by regulatory authorities to put pressure on platforms to take down certain content based on national regulation that is not compliant with EU law, nor is it based on a clear legal basis. The current regime can force the provider to take the role of an 'illegitimate judge'. Please also refer to Section 1 of this consultation.

Do you think that the concept of a "mere technical, automatic and passive nature" of information transmission by information society service providers provided under recital 42 of the ECD is sufficiently clear to be interpreted and applied in a homogeneous way, having in mind the growing involvement in content distribution by some online intermediaries, e.g.: video sharing websites?

- Yes
- 🔘 No
- I don't know

#### Please explain your answer.

1500 character(s) maximum

Mere conduit/caching/hosting describe the activities that are undertaken by a service provider. However, new business models and services have appeared since the adopting of the E-commerce Directive. For instance, some cloud service providers might also be covered under hosting services e.g. pure data storage. Other cloud-based services, as processing, might fall under a different category or not fit correctly into any of the existing ones. The same can apply to linking services and search engines, where there has been some diverging case-law at national level. Do you think that further categories of intermediary services should be established, besides mere conduit/caching/hosting and/or should the existing categories be clarified?

Yes

No

On the "notice"

Do you consider that different categories of illegal content require different policy approaches as regards notice-and-action procedures, and in particular different requirements as regards the content of the notice?

- Yes
- No

Do you think that any of the following categories of illegal content requires a specific approach:

- Illegal offer of goods and services (e.g. illegal arms, fake medicines, dangerous products, unauthorised gambling services etc.)
- Illegal promotion of goods and services
- Content facilitating phishing, pharming or hacking
- Infringements of intellectual property rights (e.g. copyright and related rights, trademarks)
- Infringement of consumer protection rules, such as fraudulent or misleading offers
- Infringement of safety and security requirements
- Racist and xenophobic speech
- Homophobic and other kinds of hate speech
- Child abuse content
- Terrorism-related content (e.g. content inciting the commitment of terrorist offences and training material)
- Defamation
- Other:

#### Please explain what approach you would see fit for the relevant category.

1000 character(s) maximum

We consider that content that is prohibited in all Member States (such as the ones selected above), should be removed. For other content national authorities must ensure that all legal guarantees of content providers are respected, including the right to due process and the freedom of speech. Decisions on blocking these types of content must only be possible a court ruling. Such proceedings need not take an unnecessarily long amount of time as every EU Member State has fast-track "summary proceedings", which could be used to decide quickly on a blocking order.

The legal situation of online gambling in the Internal Market is uncertain. This is due to diverse regulatory regimes in Member States which means that what is legal in one Member State can be illegal in another Member State. Furthermore, some Member States enforce against online gambling operators even though their national regulations are in contravention of the European Treaties and subject to infringement cases. Should the content providers be given the opportunity to give their views to the hosting service provider on the alleged illegality of the content?

- Yes
- No

#### \* Please explain your answer

#### 1500 character(s) maximum

As stated above, the blocking of digital content is very likely to harm the freedom of expression of content providers. In turn, not granting them the opportunity to give their views in proceedings conducted by a court when such an extreme measure is being taken infringes also their right to a fair trial. Both rights are, of course, covered by the EU Charter of Human Rights.

Therefore, a decision to block illegal content should not be taken until there is a court decision taken by an independent body on a clear and explicit legal basis. Furthermore, notice providers shall contact and initiate proceedings against the content provider before they turn to the intermediary. Should it be possible to contact the content provider at a later stage, then they should have the right to appeal the decision. In particular notices from government bodies, who have an inherent authoritative position, should be handled with particular procedural security to ensure the notice stays within the law.

There should also be a procedure for a counter-notice established where the party which is accused of an infringement is given sufficient opportunity to present counter-arguments. Moreover, if content is later found to have been wrongly removed it should be put back expeditiously. Additionally, we are concerned that payment blockings could lead to the infringements of the principle of legality, infringements of due administration, the rights to defence and fair trial as laid down in the ECHR

## If you consider that this should only apply for some kinds of illegal content, please indicate which one(s)

1500 character(s) maximum

Illegal content that is not one related to Racist and xenophobic speech, Homophobic and other kinds of hate speech, Child abuse content or Terrorism-related content (e.g. content inciting the commitment of terrorist offences and training material)

Should action taken by hosting service providers remain effective over time ("take down and stay down" principle)?



Once a final court decision has been taken by an independent body on a clear legal basis, the actions should remain effective over time.

#### On duties of care for online intermediaries:

Recital 48 of the Ecommerce Directive establishes that "[t]his Directive does not affect the possibility for Member States of requiring service providers, who host information provided by recipients of their service, to apply duties of care, which can reasonably be expected from them and which are specified by national law, in order to detect and prevent certain types of illegal activities". Moreover, Article 16 of the same Directive calls on Member States and the Commission to encourage the "drawing up of codes of conduct at Community level by trade, professional and consumer associations or organisations designed to contribute to the proper implementation of Articles 5 to 15". At the same time, however, Article 15 sets out a prohibition to impose "a general obligation to monitor".

(For online intermediaries): Have you put in place voluntary or proactive measures to remove certain categories of illegal content from your system?

- Yes
- No

Do you see a need to impose specific duties of care for certain categories of illegal content?

- Yes
- 🔘 No
- I don't know

Do you see a need for more transparency on the intermediaries' content restriction policies and practices (including the number of notices received as well as their main content and the results of the actions taken following the notices)?

- Yes
- 🔘 No

Do you think that online intermediaries should have a specific service to facilitate contact with national authorities for the fastest possible notice and removal of illegal contents that constitute a threat for e.g. public security or fight against terrorism?

- Yes
- No

Please share your general comments or ideas regarding the liability of online intermediaries and the topics addressed in this section of the questionnaire.

5000 character(s) maximum

## Data and cloud in digital ecosystems

## FREE FLOW OF DATA

## ON DATA LOCATION RESTRICTIONS

In the context of the free flow of data in the Union, do you in practice take measures to make a clear distinction between personal and non-personal data?

- Yes
- No
- Not applicable

Have restrictions on the location of data affected your strategy in doing business (e.g. limiting your choice regarding the use of certain digital technologies and services?)

- Yes
- No

Do you think that there are particular reasons in relation to which data location restrictions are or should be justifiable?

- Yes
- 🔘 No

## ON DATA ACCESS AND TRANSFER

Do you think that the existing contract law framework and current contractual practices are fit for purpose to facilitate a free flow of data including sufficient and fair access to and use of data in the EU, while safeguarding fundamental interests of parties involved?

Yes

No

In order to ensure the free flow of data within the European Union, in your opinion, regulating access to, transfer and the use of non-personal data at European level is:

- Necessary
- Not necessary

When non-personal data is generated by a device in an automated manner, do you think that it should be subject to specific measures (binding or non-binding) at EU level?

- Yes
- 🔘 No

Please share your general comments or ideas regarding data access, ownership and use

5000 character(s) maximum

## ON DATA MARKETS

What regulatory constraints hold back the development of data markets in Europe and how could the EU encourage the development of such markets?

3000 character(s) maximum

## ON ACCESS TO OPEN DATA

Do you think more could be done to open up public sector data for re-use in addition to the recently revised EU legislation (Directive 2013/37/EU)?

Open by default means: Establish an expectation that all government data be published and made openly re-usable by default, while recognising that there are legitimate reasons why some data cannot be released.

- Introducing the principle of 'open by default'[1]
  - Licensing of 'Open Data': help persons/ organisations wishing to re-use public sector information (e.g., Standard European License)
- Further expanding the scope of the Directive (e.g. to include public service broadcasters, public undertakings);
- Improving interoperability (e.g., common data formats);
- Further limiting the possibility to charge for re-use of public sector information
- Remedies available to potential re-users against unfavourable decisions
- Other aspects?

Do you think that there is a case for the opening up of data held by private entities to promote its re-use by public and/or private sector, while respecting the existing provisions on data protection?

- Yes
- No

### ON ACCESS AND REUSE OF (NON-PERSONAL) SCIENTIFIC DATA

Do you think that data generated by research is sufficiently, findable, accessible identifiable, and re-usable enough?

- Yes
- No

Do you agree with a default policy which would make data generated by publicly funded research available through open access?

- Yes
- No

# ON LIABILITY IN RELATION TO THE FREE FLOW OF DATA AND THE INTERNET OF THINGS

As a provider/user of Internet of Things (IoT) and/or data driven services and connected tangible devices, have you ever encountered or do you anticipate problems stemming from either an unclear liability regime/non –existence of a clear-cut liability regime?

The "Internet of Things" is an ecosystem of physical objects that contain embedded technology to sense their internal statuses and communicate or interact with the external environment. Basically, Internet of things is the rapidly growing network of everyday objects—eyeglasses, cars, thermostats—made smart with sensors and internet addresses that create a network of everyday objects that communicate with one another, with the eventual capability to take actions on behalf of users.

$\bigcirc$	Yes
~	100

- No
- I don't know

If you did not find the legal framework satisfactory, does this affect in any way your use of these services and tangible goods or your trust in them?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Do you think that the existing legal framework (laws, or guidelines or contractual practices) is fit for purpose in addressing liability issues of IoT or / and Data driven services and connected tangible goods?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

As a user of IoT and/or data driven services and connected tangible devices, does the present legal framework for liability of providers impact your confidence and trust in those services and connected tangible goods?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

In order to ensure the roll-out of IoT and the free flow of data, should liability issues of these services and connected tangible goods be addressed at EU level?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

## ON OPEN SERVICE PLATFORMS

What are in your opinion the socio-economic and innovation advantages of open versus closed service platforms and what regulatory or other policy initiatives do you propose to accelerate the emergence and take-up of open service platforms?

3000 character(s) maximum

## PERSONAL DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

The following questions address the issue whether technical innovations should be promoted and further developed in order to improve transparency and implement efficiently the requirements for lawful processing of personal data, in compliance with the current and future EU data protection legal framework. Such innovations can take the form of 'personal data cloud spaces' or trusted frameworks and are often referred to as 'personal data banks/stores/vaults'.

Do you think that technical innovations, such as personal data spaces, should be promoted to improve transparency in compliance with the current and future EU data protection legal framework? Such innovations can take the form of 'personal data cloud spaces' or trusted frameworks and are often referred to as 'personal data banks/stores/vaults'?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

### EUROPEAN CLOUD INITIATIVE

# What are the key elements for ensuring trust in the use of cloud computing services by European businesses and citizens

"Cloud computing" is a paradigm for enabling network access to a scalable and elastic pool of shareable physical or virtual resources with self-service provisioning and administration on-demand. Examples of such resources include: servers, operating systems, networks, software, applications, and storage equipment.

- Reducing regulatory differences between Member States
- Standards, certification schemes, quality labels or seals
- Use of the cloud by public institutions
  - Investment by the European private sector in secure, reliable and high-quality cloud infrastructures

As a (potential) user of cloud computing services, do you think cloud service providers are sufficiently transparent on the security and protection of users' data regarding the services they provide?

- Yes
- No
- Not applicable

As a (potential) user of cloud computing services, do you think cloud service providers are sufficiently transparent on the security and protection of users' data regarding the services they provide?

- Yes
- No
- Not applicable

As a (potential) user of cloud computing services, do you agree that existing contractual practices ensure a fair and balanced allocation of legal and technical risks between cloud users and cloud service providers?

- Yes
- No

What would be the benefit of cloud computing services interacting with each other (ensuring interoperability)

- Economic benefits
- Improved trust
- Others:

What would be the benefit of guaranteeing the portability of data, including at European level, between different providers of cloud services

- Economic benefits
- Improved trust
- Others:

Have you encountered any of the following contractual practices in relation to cloud based services? In your view, to what extent could those practices hamper the uptake of cloud based services? Please explain your reasoning.

	Never (Y[es] or N[no])	Sometimes (Y / N)	Often (Y / N)	Always (Y / N)	Why (1500 characters max.)?
Difficulties with negotiating contractual terms and conditions for cloud services stemming from uneven bargaining power of the parties and/or undefined standards					
Limitations as regards the possibility to switch between different cloud service providers					
Possibility for the supplier to unilaterally modify the cloud service					
Far reaching limitations of the supplier's liability for malfunctioning cloud services (including depriving the user of key remedies)					
Other (please explain)					

What are the main benefits of a specific European Open Science Cloud which would facilitate access and make publicly funded research data re-useable?

Making Science more reliable by better quality assurance of the data

Making Science more efficient by better sharing of resources at national and international level

Making Science more efficient by leading faster to scientific discoveries and insights

- Creating economic benefits through better access to data by economic operators
- Making Science more responsive to quickly tackle societal challenges
- Others

Would model contracts for cloud service providers be a useful tool for building trust in cloud services?

- Yes
- No

Would your answer differ for consumer and commercial (i.e. business to business) cloud contracts?

- Yes
- No

Please share your general comments or ideas regarding data, cloud computing and the topics addressed in this section of the questionnaire

5000 character(s) maximum

## The collaborative economy

The following questions focus on certain issues raised by the collaborative economy and seek to improve the Commission's understanding by collecting the views of stakeholders on the regulatory environment, the effects of collaborative economy platforms on existing suppliers, innovation, and consumer choice. More broadly, they aim also at assessing the impact of the development of the collaborative economy on the rest of the economy and of the opportunities as well as the challenges it raises. They should help devising a European agenda for the collaborative economy to be considered in the context of the forthcoming Internal Market Strategy. The main question is whether EU law is fit to support this new phenomenon and whether existing policy is sufficient to let it develop and grow further, while addressing potential issues that may arise, including public policy objectives that may have already been identified.

## Terms used for the purposes of this consultation:

"Collaborative economy"

For the purposes of this consultation the collaborative economy links individuals and/or legal persons through online platforms (collaborative economy platforms) allowing them to provide services and/or exchange assets, resources, time, skills, or capital, sometimes for a temporary period and without transferring ownership rights. Typical examples are transport services including the use of domestic vehicles for passenger transport and ride-sharing, accommodation or professional services.

## "Traditional provider"

Individuals or legal persons who provide their services mainly through other channels, without an extensive involvement of online platforms.

## "Provider in the collaborative economy"

Individuals or legal persons who provide the service by offering assets, resources, time, skills or capital through an online platform.

## "User in the collaborative economy"

Individuals or legal persons who access and use the transacted assets, resources, time, skills and capital.

Please indicate your role in the collaborative economy

- Provider or association representing providers
- Traditional provider or association representing traditional providers
- Platform or association representing platforms
- Public authority
- User or consumer association

Which are the main risks and challenges associated with the growth of the collaborative economy and what are the obstacles which could hamper its growth and accessibility? Please rate from 1 to 5 according to their importance (1 – not important; 5 – very important).

- Not sufficiently adapted regulatory framework

- $\odot$
- 0 2
- 03
- 6 4
- 6 (

- Uncertainty for providers on their rights and obligations

- 01
- 0 2
- 03
- 6 (
- 05

- Uncertainty for users about their rights and obligations

- 01
- 0 2
- 03
- 04
- 05

- Weakening of employment and social rights for employees/workers

- 01
- 0 2
- 03
- 04
- 05

- Non-compliance with health and safety standards and regulations

- 01
- 0 2
- 03
- 04
- 05

- Rise in undeclared work and the black economy

- 0 1
- 0 2
- 03
- 04
- 05

- Opposition from traditional providers

- 01
- 0 2
- 03
- 6 (
- 05

- Uncertainty related to the protection of personal data

- 01
- 0 2
- 03
- 6 (
- 05

- Insufficient funding for start-ups

- 01
- 02
- 03
- 6 4
- 05

- Other, please explain

How do you consider the surge of the collaborative economy will impact on the different forms of employment (self-employment, free lancers, shared workers, economically dependent workers, tele-workers etc) and the creation of jobs?

- Positively across sectors
- Varies depending on the sector
- Varies depending on each case
- Varies according to the national employment laws
- Negatively across sectors
- Other

Do you see any obstacle to the development and scaling-up of collaborative economy across borders in Europe and/or to the emergence of European market leaders?

- Yes
- No

Do you see a need for action at European Union level specifically to promote the collaborative economy, and to foster innovation and entrepreneurship in its context?

- Yes
- No

What action is necessary regarding the current regulatory environment at the level of the EU, including the Services Directive, the E-commerce Directive and the EU legislation on consumer protection law?

- No change is required
- New rules for the collaborative economy are required
- More guidance and better information on the application of the existing rules is required
- I don't know what is the current regulatory environment

## Submission of questionnaire

End of public consultation

## **Background Documents**

BG\_Въведение (/eusurvey/files/17798068-07b6-4cfb-8c80-a8e6a4f75e29)

- ВG\_Декларация за поверителност (/eusurvey/files/0b5a7e6a-5c26-47ca-b263-9ece4aa566ca)
- CS\_Prohlášení o ochraně osobních údajů (/eusurvey/files/a93fa8dd-757e-421e-81f9-e1c9bca745af)
- CS\_Úvod (/eusurvey/files/af54c429-c5bf-482f-8525-c156be285051)
- DA\_Databeskyttelseserklæring (/eusurvey/files/5dd2c272-17fa-47f4-b0c7-2c207a86235f)
- DA\_Introduktion (/eusurvey/files/05c0d888-2d35-4e19-a314-65e8092597d6)
- DE\_Datenschutzerklärung (/eusurvey/files/b5e037cf-0350-40c3-b803-04f6357f9603)
- DE\_Einleitung (/eusurvey/files/300a2e87-e030-422a-b678-33fe2c7520a6)
- EL\_Δήλωση περί απορρήτου (/eusurvey/files/b408fd27-c292-4fc0-9c2d-fd70c74062c4)
- EL\_Εισαγωγή (/eusurvey/files/0be38358-a600-4568-bfd0-fd9697b1810f)
- EN\_Background Information (/eusurvey/files/0873ffeb-56b2-40d7-bf56-5aadbd176c3c)
- EN\_Privacy Statement (/eusurvey/files/8861750d-baa1-4113-a832-f8a5454501b5)
- ES\_Declaración de confidencialidad (/eusurvey/files/edd31f1e-fe9d-493a-af5e-7a7c793295a9)
- ES\_Introducción (/eusurvey/files/600be540-eef2-4bde-bd3a-436360015845)
- ET\_Privaatsusteave (/eusurvey/files/294d2e58-3a3d-4e32-905f-74e8b376c5e6)
- ET\_Sissejuhatus (/eusurvey/files/4bc0f8b9-febc-478a-b828-b1032dc0117f)
- FI\_Johdanto (/eusurvey/files/a971b6fb-94d1-442c-8ad7-41a8e973f2d5)
- FI\_Tietosuojaseloste (/eusurvey/files/28a1f27e-3a8e-41f3-ae27-201e29134555)
- FR Déclaration relative à la protection de la vie privée
- (/eusurvey/files/1341b7cb-38e5-4b81-b3bc-bd0d5893d298)
- FR\_Introduction (/eusurvey/files/308a1cf7-5e78-469c-996a-372b33a1992b)
- HR\_Izjava o zaštiti osobnih podataka (/eusurvey/files/618120e1-286a-45d4-bbbd-2493d71617fb)
- HR\_Uvod (/eusurvey/files/6bfc9d48-cd5c-4603-9c68-5c45989ce864)
- HU\_Adatvédelmi nyilatkozat (/eusurvey/files/76f442e6-3e2d-4af3-acce-5efe8f74932b)
- HU\_Bevezetés (/eusurvey/files/3ea8491d-429d-4c8f-be30-82db40fa59c5)
- IT\_Informativa sulla privacy (/eusurvey/files/e2eb5a94-9e5e-4391-a8e3-35f9e151310b)
- IT\_Introduzione (/eusurvey/files/aa3bf020-9060-43ac-b92b-2ab2b6e41ba8)
- LT\_Pareiškimas apie privatumo apsaugą (/eusurvey/files/ab30fabd-4c4e-42bc-85c5-5ee75f45805d)
- LT\_lvadas (/eusurvey/files/d5a34e68-4710-488a-8aa1-d3b39765f624)
- LV\_levads (/eusurvey/files/3a9bd2b1-7828-4f0e-97f1-d87cf87b7af1)
- LV\_Konfidencialitātes paziņojums (/eusurvey/files/7156fdc0-b876-4f73-a670-d97c92e6f464)
- MT\_Dikjarazzjoni ta' Privatezza (/eusurvey/files/03139a3f-7b5f-42c0-9d2f-53837c6df306)
- MT\_Introduzzjoni (/eusurvey/files/ceb27908-207c-40cf-828a-6cf193731cdf)
- NL\_Inleiding (/eusurvey/files/ca756d80-8c02-43e1-9704-3148a13c8503)
- NL\_Privacyverklaring (/eusurvey/files/83d9394e-b179-442f-8a1b-41514ad072df)
- PL\_Oświadczenie o ochronie prywatności (/eusurvey/files/15612e0b-807d-4c6e-af1c-d65fe4ec9ddb)
- PL\_Wprowadzenie (/eusurvey/files/df9e1828-bbd0-4e4a-90bb-ec45a8bf46da)
- PT\_Declaração de privacidade (/eusurvey/files/50a6e820-91bc-4531-9a0f-47b3685753d7)
- PT\_Introdução (/eusurvey/files/003979c0-5277-41e9-8092-2de66d57ca00)

- RO\_Declarație de confidențialitate (/eusurvey/files/25c135c6-ce01-4081-a83e-53e86086797e)
- RO\_Introducere (/eusurvey/files/4334379b-e465-43a5-a944-8602090b0bf5)
- SK\_Vyhlásenie o ochrane osobných údajov (/eusurvey/files/7fab071c-85f9-47eb-aaa9-949f2239701d)
- SK\_Úvod (/eusurvey/files/e45df825-5e71-4172-b2ec-e07789cc3966)
- SL\_Izjava o varstvu osebnih podatkov (/eusurvey/files/498ec1f0-3405-4454-9aa6-40607efe118f)
- SL\_Uvod (/eusurvey/files/1b0b239a-630d-4d36-a92f-d4b758d41ddc)
- SV\_Inledning (/eusurvey/files/e9111c5b-4637-4ea1-b235-ece85ef8fe1a)
- SV\_Regler för skydd av personuppgifter (/eusurvey/files/0d8275b2-8344-4895-8c09-51d075671061)

## Contact

CNECT-PLATFORMS-CONSULTATION@ec.europa.eu